UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,547	03/26/2004	Patrick Thompson	2316.926USRE	9367	
23552 7590 03/30/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER		
			CONNELLY CUSHWA, MICHELLE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/810,547	THOMPSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa	2874				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u>	•					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12-16,35-39,49-51 and 53-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12-16,35-39,49-51 and 53-68</u>	is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
اتا(غ	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/810,547

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's Amendment filed March 2, 2007 has been fully considered and entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 3-4, filed March 2, 2007, with respect to pending claims 1, 3-10, 12-16, 35-39, 49-51 and 53-68 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections set forth in the previous Office action have has been withdrawn.

Reissue Applications

Oath/Declaration

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

At least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application must be set forth in the oath/declaration (see MPEP § 1414).

As discussed in MPEP § 1414 II(B), in identifying an error it is sufficient that the reissue oath/declaration identify a single word, phrase, or expression in the specification or in an original claim, and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid. Applicant's oath/declaration filed on March 26, 2004 does not identify what word(s), phrase(s) or expression(s) in the specification or in an original claims render the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,547

Art Unit: 2874

As discussed in MPEP § 1414 II(C), it is not sufficient to merely reproduce the claims with brackets and underlining and state that such will identify the error. Any error in a claim much be identified by reference to the specific claim language that is in error. It is not sufficient to state that the application is being filed to correct errors that may be noted from the change made by adding a new claim.

It is noted that the Amendment to claim 1 filed March 2, 2007 also introduces an error into the application because the Amendment was made after the filing of the declaration.

Claims

Claims 1, 3-10, 12-16, 35-39, 49-51 and 53-68 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Specification

The specification is objected to because it does not contain a cross-reference to divisional reissue application 11/397,885. See MPEP 1451.

An example of the suggested language is as follows:

Notice: More than one reissue application has been filed for the reissue of Patent No. 9,999,999. The reissue applications are application numbers 09/999,994 (the present application), 09/999,995, and 09/999,998, all of which are divisional reissues of Patent No. 9,999,999.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa at telephone number (571) 272-2345. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00 AM to 7:00 PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney B. Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562.

MIK Comuly Cushua Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa

Patent Examiner March 26, 2007